R006-P17 ポスター1:11/24 PM1/PM2(13:15-18:15)

プロトンの等方的降りこみは磁気圏プロトンの等方的分布と対応するのか?

#今城 峻¹⁾, 三好 由純²⁾, 笠原 慧³⁾, 横田 勝一郎⁴⁾, 松岡 彩子¹⁾, 桂華 邦裕³⁾, 堀 智昭²⁾, 篠原 育⁵⁾, 塩川 和夫²⁾ (¹ 京大・地磁気センター, ⁽² 名大 ISEE, ⁽³ 東京大学, ⁽⁴ 大阪大, ⁽⁵ 宇宙機構/宇宙研, ⁽⁶ 名大 ISEE, ⁽⁷ 宇宙機構/宇宙研, ⁽⁸ 京都大学, ⁽⁹ 東大・理, ⁽¹⁰ 名大宇地研

Does isotropic proton precipitation correspond to an isotropic distribution of magnetospheric protons?

#Shun Imajo¹⁾, Yoshizumi Miyoshi²⁾, Satoshi Kasahara³⁾, Shoichiro Yokota⁴⁾, Ayako Matsuoka¹⁾, Kunihiro Keika³⁾, Tomoaki Hori²⁾, Iku Shinohara⁵⁾, Kazuo Shiokawa²⁾

⁽¹Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, ⁽²Institute for Space-Earth Environement Research, Nagoya University, ⁽³The University of Tokyo, ⁽⁴Osaka University, ⁽⁵Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency/Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, ⁽⁶Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research, Nagoya University, ⁽⁷Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency/Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, ⁽⁸Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, ⁽⁹Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, ⁽¹⁰Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research, Nagoya University

It is not clear from previous low-altitude observations of energetic particle fluxes whether the magnetospheric plasma is also isotropic on the field line of isotropic precipitation. In this study, we have distinguished the low-latitude boundaries of the loss cone filling and the isotropic distribution of energetic protons in an energy range of 10-180 keV/q using meddle-altitude off-equatorial observations (3-5 Re geocentric distances) from the Arase satellite. The isotropic distribution boundary is defined by the ratio of proton fluxes at pitch angles of 0 - 45 deg and 45 - 90 deg for the northern hemisphere. The latitude of the isotropic distribution boundary has an energy dependence such that higher energy protons became isotropic at lower latitudes, implying isotropization of protons by the magnetic field line curvature. Around the isotropic distribution boundary, the downgoing loss cone (within 5 deg from the ambient magnetic field) was filled, while the corresponding upgoing loss cone was unfilled due to atmospheric loss. The low-latitude boundary of the loss cone filling was not always at the same latitude as the isotropic distribution boundary, and the loss cone filling tended to start at $^{-0.1} - 0.4$ deg lower latitude from the isotropic distribution boundary. This suggests that the magnetic field curvature required to isotropize the magnetospheric proton distribution is greater than that required for isotropic precipitation.